## Initial Steps Toward Verifying the Rust Standard Library Using Verus

Elanor Tang, Travis Hance, and Bryan Parno

Carnegie Mellon University

## Why Verify the Rust Standard Library?

- Safe interface
- Unsafe implementation

Want to provide safety and correctness guarantees

Greater odds of incorrect implementation

Greater severity of mistake

Impact extends to most Rust code

## Safety Depends on Correctness





### Safety Depends on Correctness





### Safety Depends on Correctness



nsafe { mem::transmute(v) }

Performs the unsafe operation transmute()

#### Initial Target: Verifying the String Library

Enables greater security

Presents nontrivial verification challenges

CVE-2020-36323 PUBLISHED View JSON   User Guide				
	CVE-2020-36317 PUBLISHED	🖞 View JSON   📃 User Guide		
Re		Collapse all		
Ct	<b>Required CVE Record Informat</b>	ion		
Pu	CNA: MITRE Corporation	—		
In t	Published: 2021-04-11 Updated: 2021-04-11			

• Reasoning about pointer provenance Provenance: Captures what you are allowed to do with a pointer based on the source it was derived from

Snippet from string standard library



provenance of ptr

Will talk about why it is hard later Will talk more about add ( ) later

- Reasoning about pointer provenance
- Complex UTF-8 reasoning
  - Variable-width encoding of characters
  - Complicated interpretation of byte values



- Reasoning about pointer provenance
- Complex UTF-8 reasoning
  - Variable-width encoding of characters
  - Complicated interpretation of byte values
- Low-level bit manipulation





#### Overview

#### • Verus

• Ownership ghost permissions to reason about pointers

#### Challenges

- Key challenge: Handling pointer provenance
- Verus challenge: Ergonomically incorporating spec/proof code into existing Rust code

#### What Went Well

• Successful verification of complex functions

#### Our Approach: Verus



- Automated SMT-based verification tool
- Uses ownership ghost permissions which are borrow-checked to safely manipulate pointers



programs. With Verus, programmers express proofs and specifications using the Rust language, allowing proofs

to take advantage of Rust's linear types and borrow checking. We show how this allows proofs to manipulate linearly typed permissions that let Rust code safely manipulate memory, pointers, and concurrent resources.





<b>Ownership Ghost Permissions</b>		Неар	
		Address	Value
		0×1000	-
		0×1004	5
Stack		0×1008	-
Signifies ownership	$p = 0 \times 1004$	0x100c	-
<pre>fn main() {     let (p, Tracked(mut points_to)) = allocate::<u32< pre=""></u32<></pre>	<mark>2</mark> >(4);	rus' nersn	ective
<pre>ptr_mut_write(p, Tracked(&amp;mut points_to), 5);</pre>		ius persp	centre
}	p }	<pre>b = 0x1004 points_to = ptr: 0x value: .</pre>	{ 1004, 5,

#### (example simplified for demonstration purposes)

#### Features of Ownership Ghost Permissions

- Mutability of permission matches mutability of the pointer operation.
- Lifetime of permission is tied to lifetime of allocation.

## Enforced by **borrow-checking** the permissions

• **Erase** permissions during compilation.

```
fn main() {
    let (p, Tracked(mut points_to)) = allocate::<u32>(4);
    ptr_mut_write(p, Tracked(<u>&points_to)</u>, 5); // FAILS
    deallocate(ptr, 4, Tracked(points_to));
    ptr_mut_write(p, Tracked(<u>&mut points_to</u>), 5); // FAILS
}
```

#### Verus: Benefits and Limitations



Can reason about safe and unsafe code

- ✓ Has the automation to scale (~250K total Verus LOC, codebases up to 60K LOC)
- X Needs more features to handle pointer provenance reasoning



#### Key Challenge: Handling Provenance

- Rust has no formal provenance model
- Many provenance models are complicated
  - E.g., tree and stacked borrows

"The exact structure of provenance is not yet specified, but the permission defined by a pointer's provenance has a *spatial* component, a *temporal* component, and a *mutability* component." —Rust pointer documentation



#### **Our Solution: Handling Provenance**

#### Key idea: Abstract over the provenance model















#### Additional Wrinkle

Carrying around pointer/provenance information at the SMT level may get expensive.



#### Potential challenge: Incorporating both encodings

### Verus Challenge: Ergonomically Incorporating Spec/Proof Code into Existing Rust Code

Need to use Verus versions of functions



• Rust currently lacks support for ghost code

# Proposed Solution: Ergonomically Incorporating Spec/Proof Code into Existing Rust Code

pub fn ptr\_mut\_write<T>(ptr: \*mut T, Tracked(points\_to): Tracked<&mut PointsTo<T>>, v: T)

• Proposal to move ownership ghost function parameters out of the main function signature

pub fn ptr\_mut\_write<T>(ptr: \*mut T, v: T)
 with\_ghost\_arg (points\_to: &mut PointsTo<T>)

• Support in progress for attribute-based syntax

#[with\_ghost\_arg(points\_to: &mut PointsTo<T>)]
pub fn ptr\_mut\_write<T>(ptr: \*mut T, v: T)

# Proposed Solution: Ergonomically Incorporating Spec/Proof Code into Existing Rust Code

pub fn ptr\_mut\_write<T>(ptr: \*mut T, Tracked(points\_to): Tracked<&mut PointsTo<T>>, v: T)

 Proposal to move ownership ghost function parameters out of the main function signature

Need to do this in a way that still enables type-checking, so we can keep borrow-checking our permissions

• Support in progress for attribute-based syntax

#[with\_ghost\_arg(points\_to: &mut PointsTo<T>)]
pub fn ptr\_mut\_write<T>(ptr: \*mut T, v: T)

#### Putting It Together: What Went Well

Successful verification of complex functions

Clean mathematical spec for UTF-8

{



pub const fn run\_utf8\_validation(v\_ref: &[u8]) -> (result: Result<(), Utf8Error>)
 ensures
 is\_ok(&result) <==> valid\_utf8(v\_ref@),

Low-level *automatic* bitwise proofs

Metadata

#### Low-level bitwise proofs

All assertions about bitwise operations were **automatically** proved

- Context of example
  - Showing block of bytes is ASCII
  - Endianness reasoning



#### Putting It Together: What Went Well

Successful verification of complex functions

Clean mathematical spec for UTF-8



pub const fn run\_utf8\_validation(v\_ref: &[u8]) -> (result: Result<(), Utf8Error>)
 ensures
 is\_ok(&result) <==> valid\_utf8(v\_ref@),

Low-level *automatic* bitwise proofs

Pointer *provenance* reasoning

ł

# Pointer Provenance Reasoning via Ownership

**Ghost Permissions** 



let block = ptr.add(index) as \*const usize; let zu = contains\_nonascii(\*block);

Unverified code in run\_utf8\_validation()

```
let block = ptr.add_verus(index, Tracked(perm.into_raw_shared())) as *const usize;
let tracked zu_sub_perm = perm.subrange(index, size_of::<usize>());
proof {
    lemma_mod_eqv_0_trans(zu_sub_perm.ptr()@.addr as int,
        size_of::<usize>() as int, align_of::<usize>() as int);
}
let tracked zu_usize_perm = zu_sub_perm.cast_points_to::<usize>();
let x = *ptr_ref(block, Tracked(zu_usize_perm));
let zu = contains_nonascii(x);
```

## Pointer Provenance Reasoning via Ownership Ghost Permissions

let block = ptr.add(index) as \*const usize;

Unverified code in run\_utf8\_validation()

let zu = contains\_nonasci(\*block);)

```
let block = ptr.add verus(index, Tracked(perm.into raw shared())) as *const usize;
let tracked zu_sub_perm = perm.subrange(index, size_of::<usize>());
proof {
    lemma_mod_eqv_0_trans(zu_sub_perm.ptr()@.addr as int,
        size_of::<usize>() as int, align_of::<usize>() as int);
}
let tracked zu_usize_perm = zu_sub_perm.cast_points_to::<usize>();
let x = *ptr_ref(block, Tracked(zu_usize_perm));
let zu = contains_nonascii(x);
```

## Pointer Provenance Reasoning via Ownership Ghost Permissions

let block = ptr.add(index) as \*const usize; let zu = contains\_nonascii(\*block);

Unverified code in run\_utf8\_validation()

```
let block = ptr.add_verus(index, Tracked(perm.into_raw_shared())) as *const usize;
let tracked zu_sub_perm = perm.subrange(index, size_of::<usize>());
proof {
    lemma_mod_eqv_0_trans(zu_sub_perm.ptr()@.addr as int,
        size_of::<usize>() as int, align_of::<usize>() as int);
}
let tracked zu_usize_perm = zu_sub_perm.cast_points_to::<usize>();
let x = *ptr_ref(block, Tracked(zu_usize_perm));
let zu = contains_nonascii(x);
```

#### **Recap: Essential Verification Tool Features**

- Mathematical specification language
- ✓Automation
- ✓Bit-level reasoning
- Ergonomically integrate <sup>1</sup>
   spec/proof code into existing Rust code
- Provenance-specific pointer reasoning

- Proposal to decouple executable and ownership ghost function parameters
- Support in progress for attribute-based syntax

- Verus has pointer reasoning via ownership ghost permissions
- Added provenance information to permissions
- Next step: experiment with more pointer manipulations

Elanor Tang elanor@cmu.edu

## **Recap: Key Discussion Question**

#### How to add abstraction over the provenance model?

Abstraction



# We would love to hear your thoughts on the best approach!

Thank you!

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. DGE2140739. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.